

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹೆಚ್. ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಣಯ್ಯ.—ಒಟ್ಟು ರಾಜಿನಾಮೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕದ್ದು 1508 ಎಂದು ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿದೆ ಈಗ ಹಾಲಿ ರಾಜ್ಯದಲ್ಲರತಕ್ಕ ಜೂನಿಯರ್ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರುಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—1900 ಎಂದು ಕಾಣಿಸುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಪ್ಪ.—ರಾಜ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ 1508 ಜನ ಜೂನಿಯರ್ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರುಗಳು ರಾಜಿನಾಮೆ ಯನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ತಮ್ಮ ನ್ಯಾಯ ಬೇಡಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಲ್ಲಿ ನಿವೇದಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಾಗ ಅವರ ಸರ್ವಿಸ್ ಕಂಡಿಶನ್ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ಯಾವ ಔದಾರ್ಯವನ್ನು ತೋರಿಸದೆ, ಕುಮುಖ್ಯಿಯಿಂದ ಇರಲು ಪ್ರಬಲವಾದ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ! ಅಥವಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಈ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬಗೆಹರಿಸಲು ಏನಾದರೂ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾರೋ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ನಾವು ಔದಾರ್ಯ ತೋರಿಸಲಾರದೆ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದರೆ, from grade of Rs. 116 to 200 they would not have got 250 to 400.

Sri H. R. KESHAHA MURTHY.—I do not think that an astute politician as the Hon'ble Minister is, he should have met the challenge with a counter challenge. Don't you think that your statement of accepting their resignation, will create a mental imbalance in those youngsters ? What steps you are going to take to suppress such feelings of these people ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I have not thrown any counter challenge to the junior engineers. Yesterday, they were with me for about 45 minutes. I have explained to them all the difficulties of the Government. I have advised them to withdraw their resignations. But when they want to press their resignation and if they do not want to serve the State, I cannot force them to serve.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ರೇವಣಸಿದ್ಧಪ್ಪ.—ಈಗ ಬರೇ ಇನ್‌ಫುಯನ್ಸ್ ಇದ್ದ ಬೊಂಬಾಯಿ ಮತ್ತು ಹೈದರಾಬಾದ್ ಕರ್ಣಾಟಕದವರಿಗೆ ಪ್ರೊಮೋಶನ್ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೆಯೇ ಹೊರತು ಇಲ್ಲೇ ಒದಿರತಕ್ಕ ವರಿಗೆ 5—10 ವರ್ಷ ಸರ್ವಿಸ್ ಮಾಡಿದರೂ ಇನ್ನೂ ಸೂಪರ್‌ವೈಸರ್ಸ್, ಜೂನಿಯರ್ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರ್ ಆಗಿರಬಹುದು. ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಕೃಪಾಕಳಾಕ್ಷ ಬೀರಿ ಇದನ್ನು ಪರಿಹಾರ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಏನಾದರೂ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಈಗ ನಮಗೆ ರಾಜಿನಾಮೆ ಬಂದಿದ್ದು 1508 ನಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿರುವಂತಹ ಜೂನಿಯರ್ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರ್ 1900, ಒಂದು ವೇಳೆ ಇವರು ಹೇಳಿದಂತೆ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಇಂಟಿಗ್ರೇಟೆಡ್‌ನವರು ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಕೂಡುತ್ತಾ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ ಈಗ ಜೂನಿಯರ್ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರ್ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಪೇಸ್ಟೇರ್ ಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳು ತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಪ್ರೊಮೋಷನ್ ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ, ಪ್ರೊಸಿಜರ್, ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಹೋಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಒಬ್ಬ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು.—ನನ್ನ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಇದೆ. ಈಗ ಅದು ಸಖ್ಯ ಮೆಂಟರಿ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇದೆ. ದಯೆವಿಟ್ಟು ಅದು ಮುಖ್ಯ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯಾದುದರಿಂದ, ನಾಳೆ ಅದನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Chair will consider it. Question Hour is over.

QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY

(But not taken up)

Disease to Ragi crop.

*Q.—53. Sri S. KARIAPPA (Kanakapura).—

Will the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state :—

(a) whether they are aware of the widespread crop disease of ragi in Kanakapura Taluk in particular and the State in general ;

(b) the areas in which it had been prevalent during the last season ;

(c) the areas in which it has been now prevailing ;

(d) the probable area it affected then and now ;

(e) the estimated loss on account of the disease ?

A.—Sri G. NARAYANA GOWDA (Minister for Agriculture).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Nil.

(c) Districts of Bangalore, Tumkur, Chitradurga, Kolar, Mysore, Hassan and Chickmagalur.

(d) About 14,672 acres affected this year. For the last year the area affected does not arise in view of reply to (b) above.

(e) About 30 per cent to 40 per cent is the estimated loss in the affected crop.

Reversion of Commercial Tax Inspectors.

*Q.—149. Sri J. L. KABADI (Baradol).—

Will the Minister for Finance be pleased to state :—

(a) whether it is a fact that some Commercial Tax Inspectors who were promoted under Order No. EST-C-974 dated 18-2-1965 from the Commissioner of Commercial Taxes, Bangalore have been reverted as I Division Clerks within three months for the reasons that they are not qualified for the post ;

(b) out of the reverted persons now working as I Division Clerks how many are fully qualified for the post and why they are not given promotions from the date of requisite qualifications ;

(c) whether it is a fact that 38 persons who are junior to the reverted persons have been given promotion in direct vacancies ?

A.—Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE (Minister for Finance).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Four of them are qualified by passing the tests and these four persons have already been promoted as Commercial Tax Inspectors according to their seniority among the qualified officials against the direct recruitment vacancies recently created.

They could not be promoted from the date of their acquiring the requisite qualifications for the reason that no vacancies were available on that date, as the available vacancies were filled up by directly recruited Commercial Tax Inspectors after their training.

(c) Yes. Only 33.

These 33 juniors were qualified for promotion by passing the prescribed examinations and they had seniority among the qualified officials then available.